STATE OF ALASKA

TONY KNOWLES, GOVERNOR

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET DIVISION OF GOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION

SOUTHCENTRAL REGIONAL OFFICE 550 W. 7TH AVENUE, SUITE 1660 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501 PH: (907) 269-7470 / FAX: (907) 269-3981

- CENTRAL OFFICE
 P.O. BOX 110030
 JUNEAU, ALASKA 99811-0030
 PH: (907) 465-3562 / FAX: (907) 465-3075
- PIPELINE COORDINATOR'S OFFICE 411 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 2C ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501-2343 PH: (907) 269-7470 / FAX: (907) 561-6134

June 13, 2002

Bud Rice National Park Service 2525 Gamble Street Anchorage, Alaska 99503

Dear Mr Rice

The State of Alaska has reviewed the April 2002 Environmental Assessment (EA) for Spruce Creek Access. The comments in this letter represent the consolidated views of the State of Alaska resource agencies.

General Observations

The preferred alternative appears to provide the inholders adequate access (both by air and road) to their properties without requiring extensive road improvements that would preclude access to their property because of financial considerations. The alternative does propose a minor reroute along Spruce Creek to an abandoned trail in order to avoid vehicles traversing up the bottom of Spruce Creek, which seems reasonable and appropriate. We understand that the Department of Fish and Game has been working with the inholders and the Service to assure that these changes will meet their Title 16 permit requirements.

The Moose Creek Road has been in place for many years and the preferred alternative appears to allow the inholders and public continuing use of the road. Continued use of the Glen Creek airstrip by both the applicants and the public is also allowed. Except for potential impacts to subsistence uses, public uses appear to be minimally affected by this alternative (pages 2-19, 4-17 and 4-18) and may in fact be improved, as the road and airstrip will receive more maintenance than is provided currently.

Subsistence

Regarding adoption of the preferred alternative, page 4-18 states, "The impacts of this project on subsistence uses would depend on the degree to which access to the road corridor is restricted, both for general access and specifically for discharge of firearms (safety closure)." It is further stated on this page "it is unlikely that the closed area would be extended along the proposed route." We strongly object to the implementation of any additional restrictions on subsistence

uses in this area. The level of subsistence use already is low and is not posing any threats to other users or to park resources. Additional subsistence restrictions through firearm or access restrictions totally unrelated to subsistence uses is inconsistent with the federal subsistence priority and sends the message that subsistence uses authorized by ANILCA in national parks can be chipped away piece by piece in deference to other uses.

The ANILCA Section 810 Analysis in Appendix C is well written, informative, and better than many we have reviewed. We agree with the findings, that neither the proposed action nor any of the other alternatives would result in a significant restriction to subsistence uses--but only if the Finding of No Significant Impact clearly states that no new access restrictions or expanded limitations on the discharge of firearms would be imposed as result of actions proposed in this EA.

State Rights-of-Way under Revised Statute (RS) 2477

Though not discussed in the EA, we wish to clarify that the state is not contemplating to vacate valid RS 2477 trails associated with the Spruce Creek access route. As you know, agencies of the state "may not vacate a right-of-way acquired by the state under former 43 U.S.C. 932 unless (1) a reasonably comparable, established alternate right-of-way or means of access exists that is sufficient to satisfy all present and reasonably foreseeable uses."

Please contact me at 907-269-7476 if you have any questions regarding these comments.

Sincerely,

/ss/

Don Perrin Project Review Coordinator

cc: Patrick Galvin, Director, Division of Governmental Coordination
Frank Rue, Commissioner, Department of Fish and Game
Pat Pourchot, Commissioner, Department of Natural Resources
Joseph Perkins, Commissioner, Department of Transportation and Public Facilities
Deborah Sedwick, Commissioner, Dept. of Community and Economic Development
Tina Cunning, Department of Fish and Game
Terry Haynes, Department of Fish and Game
Mac Mclean, Department of Fish and Game
Bruce Talbot, Department of Natural Resources
Johanna Munson, Department of Natural Resources
Odin Brudie, Dept. of Community and Economic Development